

APPENDIX 2.0 – SUMMARY OF ROUNDS 1, 2 AND 3

Item	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Overall Outcome
Patient name	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Patient age	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with “(if you believe date of birth is sufficient, please disagree)”	Rejected		Rejected
Patient date of birth	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Patient gender	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	78% agree (36/46)	Accepted
Patient medical record number / hospital number	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Patient weight	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Patient height	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	96% agree (45/47)	Accepted
Patient BMI	Rejected			Rejected
Patient ASA physical status classification	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Patient allergies	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Block performed by _____ (name)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Grade of block performer (e.g. Consultant, Fellow, Resident, Registrar etc.)	Added for round 2	For discussion in round 3	68% agree (32/47)	Accepted with weak agreement
Name of supervisor (if applicable)	Added for round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Name of assistant (if applicable)	Added for round 2	Rejected		Rejected
Patient consent	Progressed to round 2 and re-worded as “Documentation of patient consent gained (as per local standards e.g. written, verbal)”	Accepted		Accepted
Individual risks of procedure discussed and documented	Progressed to round 2 and re-worded as: “Documentation of individual risks of procedure discussed (as per local standards)”	Accepted		Accepted
Pre-anesthetic evaluation	Progressed to round 2 and re-worded as “Pre-anaesthetic/block evaluation”	For discussion in round 3	96% agree (45/47)	Accepted
Pre-procedure diagnosis (post-operative pain management / surgical diagnosis)	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	77% agree (36/47)	Accepted
Timeout / WHO checklist	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	57% agree (28/49)	Accepted with weak agreement
Stop moment performed	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	80% agree (40/50)	Accepted
Coagulation considered	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	50% agree (24/48)	Accepted with weak agreement
Intravenous access	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted

Regional anesthesia procedure name	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Indication for regional anesthesia (surgical anesthesia or analgesia)	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	48% agree (23/48)	Rejected
Request by surgeon	Rejected			Rejected
Patient position during regional anesthesia procedure	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Monitors applied	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Baseline vital signs	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Baseline visual analogue score	Rejected			Rejected
Pre-medication (type and quantity of sedation)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Level of sedation (no sedation / light sedation / deep sedation / general anesthesia)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Rationale for block performance under spinal, epidural or general anesthesia	Rejected			Rejected
Time and date of regional anesthesia procedure	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Aseptic agent used	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Sterile gloves used	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if applicable)"	For discussion in round 3	6% agree (3/47)	Rejected
Mask used	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if applicable)"	For discussion in round 3	6% agree (3/47)	Rejected
Gown used	Rejected			Rejected
Ultrasound probe decontaminated according to local requirements	Rejected			Rejected
Sterile ultrasound transducer cover used	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if applicable)"	For discussion in round 3	2% agree (1/48)	Rejected
Sterile drape used	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if applicable)"	For discussion in round 3	0% agree (0/47)	Rejected
Aseptic technique used as per local policy			Added during round 3 during live discussion 75% agree (36/48)	Accepted
Skin infiltration with local anesthetic	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Needle design: tip, manufacturer, length, gauge	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted

Local anesthetic used for regional anesthesia technique (concentration and volume)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Adrenaline dose if used (concentration)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Adjunct used	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(e.g. bicarbonate, clonidine etc.)"	Accepted		Accepted
Side of block	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Blocked side marked	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	28% agree (13/47)	Rejected
Technique of needle localization (ultrasound / nerve stimulator / landmark)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Approach used (in plane / out of plane)	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	19% agree (9/47)	Rejected
No EMR < ___mA (when applicable)	Progressed to round 2 and re-worded as "No Evoked Motor Response < ___mA (when applicable i.e. when nerve stimulator used)"	Accepted		Accepted
Minimum current and current duration	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if nerve stimulator used)"	Accepted		Accepted
Description of motor response	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if nerve stimulator used)"	For discussion in round 3	17% agree (8/47)	Rejected
Description of quality of paresthesia	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if using paresthesia technique)"	For discussion in round 3	6% agree (3/48)	Rejected
Catheter tip location confirmed by ultrasound / nerve stimulator	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	4% agree (2/48)	Rejected
Technique of injection (via needle or catheter)	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	17% agree (8/48)	Rejected
Note on incremental injection	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	8% agree (4/48)	Rejected
Extra-neural spread visualized	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	21% agree (10/48)	Rejected
Presence / absence nerve swelling		Added for round 3	19% agree (9/48)	Rejected
Note on resistance during injection (pressure < 15psi)	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	8% agree (4/48)	Rejected
Absence of blood on aspiration	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Needle depth before injection	Rejected			Rejected
Catheter depth at the skin	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Absence of pain / paresthesia during injection	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Technique (approach used e.g. median / paramedian)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Vertebral level of needle insertion	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted

Technique used: Loss of resistance to saline/air for epidural insertion	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Number of attempts	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Epidural needle depth at loss of resistance	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Catheter depth at the skin	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Method used to secure catheter	Added for round 2	Rejected		Rejected
Note on aspiration and action taken	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Epidural test dose	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if applicable)"	Accepted		Accepted
Absence of pain / paresthesia during injection	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Dermatomal level of spinal or epidural block achieved	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(if assessed)"	Accepted		Accepted
Complications (neuraxial)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Adequacy of regional anesthesia procedure	Progressed to round 2	For discussion in round 3	36% agree (17/47)	Rejected
Complications (nerve block)	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Ultrasound image included in patient record (if US used)	Added for round 2	Rejected		Rejected
Patient vital signs after the procedure	Progressed to round 2	Accepted		Accepted
Post block monitoring completed by _____/ handed over/off to _____ (e.g. Recovery, PACU)	Added for round 2	For discussion in round 3	6% agree (3/47)	Rejected
Patient visual analogue score after the procedure	Rejected			Rejected
Post procedure instructions	Progressed to round 2 and item clarified with "(as per local standards)"	Accepted		Accepted