Results Ultrasound measurement of SPD was equal to Touhy needle in 23/30 (76.7%), while overestimated in 1/30 (3.3%) by 0.2 cm and underestimated in 6/30 (20%) by a mean of 0.5 cm. BMI measurement of SPD was equal to Touhy needle in 0/30 (0%), while overestimated in 25/30 (83.3%) by a mean of 1.14 cm and underestimated in 5/30 (16.7%) by a mean of 0.29 cm. Ultrasound was more accurate than BMI in the measurement of SPD based on Wilcoxon signed-rank test (non-parametric) with a p-value <0.00001.

Conclusions Compared to BMI, ultrasound offers more accurate measurement of SPD.

108 POST-CESAREAN SECTION ANALGESIA WITH QUADRATUS LUMBORUM BLOCK 5 IN A PUERPERAL WOMAN: A CASE REPORT

L Vieira, B Alves*, CR Almeida. Centro Hospitalar Tondela Viseu, Viseu, Portugal

10.1136/rapm-2021-ESRA.108

Background and Aims A 42-year-old pregnant woman refused neuroaxial anaesthesia for a scheduled cesarean section (c-section), therefore a balanced general anaesthesia was performed. The c-section was uneventful. Before extubation, in a supine position, an ultrasound guided lumbar bilateral quadratus lumborum block (QLB) 5 was performed with a single shot dose of 20 ml, per side, of ropivacaine 0.5%. During the first 24 hours post-operative, the patient remained comfortable, with paracetamol 1g every 8 hours, intravenously, without other analgesic medication.

Methods Different variations of QLB have been performed for analgesia after c-section, but Transmuscular QLB (T-QLB) is frequently chosen, due to long lasting duration and to better visceral analgesia, but the patient must be positioned in both lateral position alternately.¹

Results Recently Almeida *et al*,² described the QLB5 and the LAlat Block, the latter not for abdominal pain, using the same sonoanatomy of the T-QLB, but maintaining the supine position. The anesthetic is injected from anterior/lateral to posterior/medial direction between the psoas major muscle (PMM)

Abstract 108 Figure 1 Ultrasound image showing performance of a modified anterior QLB, a novel QLB 5. ESM, Erector spinae muscle; LA, Local anaesthetic.

and the quadratus lumborum muscle (QLM) (figure 1). The insertion point at QLB5 is anterior to the probe. This approach (QLB5) provides similar dispersion as the T-QLB; the gravid uterus or other abdominal structure are easily avoided as with the T-QLB; this happens because QLM functions as a safe path for the needle.

Conclusions The QLB5 is safe and allows prolonged abdominal (somatic and visceral) analgesia for post-c-section.

109 AN AUDIT OF RESPONSE TIMES TO EPIDURAL REQUESTS ON A BUSY UK LABOUR WARD

T Moody*, A Qureshi, M Nejdlova. University Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

10.1136/rapm-2021-ESRA.109

Background and Aims Epidural analgesia is the gold standard for labour analgesia. Recently the availability and timing of an epidural has been topical in the UK news^{3,4,5}. The time from an anaesthetist being informed of epidural request to attendance should be less than 30 minutes; or 60 in exceptional circumstances. This single centre audit aims to assess anaesthetic attendance times according to the standards set by the OAA and AAGBI^{1,2}.

Methods We looked at all epidural requests over 3 months at a busy UK maternity unit. With each epidural, the time of request, the time of anaesthetist being informed and the time of attendance of anaesthetist were noted. Reasons for delays, including delays between the request and informing the anaesthetist were recorded.

Results 31 from 50 epidural requests had the anaesthetist attend within 30 minutes of being informed. The anaesthetist attended 37 times within 60 minutes of being informed.

The most common reasons for delay were unavailability of the anaesthetist, the anaesthetist not being informed, CTG concerns and awaiting blood results.

Conclusions Out of hours, one resident anaesthetist was on call with a non-resident consultant. If a case was on-going in theatre, this potentially delayed discussion for epidural. It highlights the need for additional resident labour ward anaesthetists at busy units in line with GPAS guidelines¹. In our unit we rolled out an educational program aimed at educating

Abstract 109 Table 1

Epidural time data	Total patients = 50
Anaesthetist attending within 30 minutes of being informed	31/50
Anaesthetist attending within 60 minutes of being informed	37/50
Anaesthetist attending within 30 minutes of initial request	27/50
Reason for delay documented in patient notes	35/50

Abstract 109 Table 2

Documented reasons for delay	Total documented reasons for delay = 35
Anaesthetist unavailable	10
Anaesthetist not informed	7
CTG concerns	5
Blood results unavailable	5
Lack of trained midwife	3
Delivery is likely imminent	2
Patient awaiting obstetric review	1
Equipment issues	1
Awaiting uterotonics to start	1