received ultrasound guided CEB with 1 ml/kg 0.25% bupivacaine. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients requiring rescue analgesia in the 1st 24 hours after surgery. Secondary outcomes were the duration of post-operative analgesia and FLACC scores.

**Results** Significantly more patients belonging to ESPB than CEB group required rescue analgesia (88.4% versus 42.3% respectively, p value <0.001) in the 1st 24 hours after surgery. The duration of analgesia was shorter in the ESPB group by 9.54 hours (95% CI: 4.51 to 14.57 hours, p=0.000). Though ESPB group patients had satisfactory FLACC scores, they were inferior to CEB group for the first 6 hours after surgery. No adverse effects were reported in both the groups.

**Conclusions** Both ESPB and CEB were safe and efficacious. CEB provided a longer duration and better quality of analgesia especially in the first 6 hours postoperatively. ESPB may be considered in pediatric patients undergoing abdominal surgery when CEB is contraindicated or difficult.

---
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**Background and Aims** Regional anaesthesia (RA) in children is being driven by the translation of adult ‘plan A blocks’ into paediatrics. Utilisation hosts many benefits including anaesthetic drug sparing on the developing brain, improved recovery profiles and analgesia action on immature pain pathways. We proposed that inaccurate consent information would affect confidence in and uptake of RA. We aimed to review current practice of consent with a forward plan to provide a unified, accurate message to caregivers.

**Methods** We performed a retrospective audit of patients who had Trauma and Orthopaedic surgery at the Bristol Royal Hospital for Children over a three-month period, identified via our electronic theatre system (Bluespier). These 205 cases yielded 32 who had peripheral RA (15.6%) and their anaesthetic charts were analysed. Standards of consent were set against national guidance (RA-UK/AAGBI). The Superior Trunk Block (STB) is being considered as an alternative to Interscalene Block (ISB) for shoulder arthroscopy. This study aims to compare efficacy and safety between these techniques.

**Methods** PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus and Cochrane were searched for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing the STB to the ISB for shoulder arthroscopies. Outcomes assessed included incidence and extent of hemidiaphragmatic paralysis, pain scores, opioid consumption, patient satisfaction, block duration, and block-related complications. RevMan 5.4 analyzed data. Risk of bias was appraised using the RoB-2 tool.

**Results** We analyzed 4 RCTs involving 359 patients, of whom 49.5% underwent STB. The results showed that STB resulted in less total hemidiaphragmatic paralysis (figure 2), less subjective dyspnea (figure 3) and lower incidence of Horner’s Syndrome (RR 0.66; 95% CI 0.80 to 0.53, p < 0.001; I2 = 0%). 3 RCTs, 221 patients. No statistically significant differences were found between the two groups for other outcomes, except for pain score at rest at 24h, which was favorable to STB (MD -0.39; 95% CI -1.05 to 0.27, p = 0.23). However, we should consider the clinical relevance of this
difference. Our study represents the largest sample size available comparing these techniques, and our results indicate that probably there was enough statistical power for the majority of outcomes analyzed.

Abstract OP039

Figure 1 The STB demonstrated less total hemidiaphragmatic paralysis (1A), and an increased absence of hemidiaphragmatic paralysis (1B) than the ISB

Abstract OP039 Figure 2 There was a significantly better diaphragmatic excursion 30 minutes after the STB than the ISB

Abstract OP039 Figure 3 There was significantly less subjective dyspnea in the STB group when compared to the ISB

Conclusions Our findings suggest that STB is safer than ISB, as it results in a lower incidence and extent of hemidiaphragmatic paralysis, while demonstrating similar block efficacy.

OP040 QUALITY OF RECOVERY AFTER HIP FRACTURE SURGERY: PERICAPSULAR NERVE GROUP BLOCK VERSUS FASCIA ILIACA COMPARTMENT BLOCK

Chaima Debabi, Azza Yedes*, Oussama Ben Njima, Mohamed Methneni, Hiba Ketata, Mahmoud Mazout, Becem Trabelsi, Mechaal Ben Ali. Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Mohamed Taher Maamouri Teaching Hospital, Nabeul, Tunisia

Table: Weakness of the quadriceps 1 day after each block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Weakness of the quadriceps</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PENG</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCIB</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Background and Aims Pericapsular nerve group(PENG)block provides an effective blockade to the articular branches of the femoral, obturator and accessory obturator nerves compared with the fascia iliaca compartment block(FICB). We aimed to compare the efficacy of these two blocks for enhancing recovery in elderly patients scheduled for hip fracture surgery.

Methods This study was a prospective randomized clinical trial. We included consenting patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. Patients with dementia or clinically significant cardiovascular, renal, hepatic or neurological illness were excluded. Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups to receive either ultrasound-guided PENG block(PENG group)or FICB(FICB group), using 20 ml of 0.2%ropivacaine. Spinal anesthesia was performed after 20 min. The primary outcome was the Quality of Recovery-15(QoR-15) scores at 24h postoperatively. The secondary outcomes were to compare the quadriceps weakness and the VAS at rest and on movement on postoperative day 1.

Results Eighty patients were randomized and equally allocated between the two groups. Baseline demographics and preoperative QoR-15 values were similar for the two groups. The postoperative QoR-15 was better in the PENG group compared to the FICB group with a statistically significant difference (p=0.04). The median increase of the QoR-15 at 24h after surgery was 20[14.5-29.75]in the PENG group versus 14[8.5-29]in the FICB group (p=0.04). Weakness of the quadriceps was significantly more observed in the FICB group (p=0.05).

There was no statistically significant difference in terms of analgesic efficiency between groups on day 1 postoperatively: static VAS at [1.0-2.0]vs.2.0-3.0 (p=0.31), dynamic VAS at 3.5[2-4.5]vs.4[3-5] (p=0.67) in the PENG group and the FICB group respectively.

Abstract OP040 Figure 1 Difference in the QoR-15 scores between preoperative and postoperative periods in both groups.