9.0) domains received the lowest mean scores. ICC statistical analysis showed high magnitude of agreement between reviewers with a range of (0.790–0.988).

Reflecting upon this quality appraisal, it is evident that the quality and methodologic rigor of BTcP guidelines can be improved upon in the future. These findings also elucidate the existing variability/discrepancies among guidelines in diagnostic criteria and management of BTcP (Suresh et al. 2022).

Conclusion A comprehensive pain management approach that addresses the various presentations of pain in patients with cancer is required, including appropriate management of breakthrough pain (Caraceni et al 2013). Because pain is heterogeneous, the best management of an individual’s pain, including breakthrough pain in cancer, requires a thorough assessment to tailor the treatment strategies. The developed guidelines support this approach and recommend treating breakthrough pain using rapid- or short-acting opioids with pharmacodynamics that mirror the rapid onset and short duration of the presenting pain. This approach should be part of a comprehensive strategy to treat pain within the context of the primary disease trajectory, offering continuity of care and access to specialized Pain therapy and Palliative care services.
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postdural puncture headache. The incidence of unintentional dural puncture is estimated to be approximately 1.5%. Furthermore, up to 36% and in some reports as high as 76 to 85% of these patients may experience PDPH symptoms.

Etiology and clinical manifestations

PDPH is caused by leakage of cerebrospinal fluid through the dural hole created by the needle. It can be considered a clinical model of intracranial hypotension and is characterized by a headache that occurs within 5 days following the puncture, located in the frontal and/or occipital region. It is usually of postural nature and is accompanied by associated symptoms like neck stiffness, dizziness, nausea, hearing symptoms (tinnitus, hearing loss) and vision changes (diplopia, blurred vision, or photophobia).

PDPH Consequences The occurrence of PDPH is problematic as it causes functional and socio-professional disability leading to increased patient morbidity, delayed discharge and increased readmission. It is also associated with increased risks of major neurological and long-lasting complications. Cases of persistent headache have been reported and chronic back pain as well. Hypoacusis and tinnitus have been seen after dural puncture and have been thought to stem from the loss of CSF leading to reduced intracranial CSF pressure. There is also increased risk of subdural hematoma (due to rupture of meningeal veins) and cerebral vein thrombosis. These complications underscore the importance of prophylaxis, early diagnosis, treatment and follow up of PDPH.

Risk Factors The risk factors for PDPH are classified as non-modifiable and modifiable. Non-modifiable factors include the female sex, young age, teenagers, lower body mass index (BMI), previous PDPH, and chronic headaches. Risk factors that are modifiable include needle size, needle shape, direction of the needle bevel, stylet replacement, and operator experience. The use of atrumatic, noncutting needles is the most effective intervention for post-lumbar puncture headache prevention. Frequent attempts during lumbar puncture and increased cerebrospinal fluid leakage were associated with PDPH. Neither bed rest nor fluid supplementation decreases the incidence of headache after dural puncture; bed rest may even worsen post-lumbar puncture headache. Insertion of an intrathecal catheter at the site of ADP significantly reduces the incidence and severity of PDPH.

Diagnosis The diagnosis of PDPH is made clinically by identifying the typical headache within 5 days after a dural puncture. Other causes may need to be excluded if symptoms are atypical. Serious and life-threatening etiologies (eg, hemorrhage, thrombosis, vasculopathy, meningitis), which may or may not be related to the dural puncture, must be ruled out in the presence of focal or worsening neurologic deficits. Neuroimaging is not indicated unless required to exclude differential diagnoses.

Treatment The treatment of PDPH depends upon the severity of headache and its impact on the patient's ability to function. Patients with mild PDPH may benefit from conservative treatment including bed rest as needed, caffeine and oral analgesics. Patients who are unable to tolerate sitting or standing are considered to have moderate to severe PDPH and the epidural blood patch continues to be the most widespread treatment. Though epidural blood patch is recognized as the gold standard in the treatment of severe PDPH it is also not without risk. It may either fail or lead to another inadvertent dural puncture. Other potential adverse events/reaktions may occur during a blood patch are back pain, meningitis, subdural abscess, facial nerve paralysis, spastic paraparesis, and cauda equina syndrome. The safer alternative therapies for PDPH which are efficacious, easy to administer and with less risk are the bilateral greater occipital nerve block and transnasal sphenopalatine ganglion block.

In conclusion PDPH is a problematic complication and should not be left unattended and untreated. There is the potential for considerable morbidity, even death. Since lumbar puncture is very common in clinical practice, it is necessary for practitioners to have a holistic knowledge of the risk factors, pathophysiological, diagnostic, differential diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of PDPH. An evidence-based approach with written institutional protocols to the management of unintentional dural puncture and PDPH are important to ensure patients' safety and optimal care.

REFERENCES