Association of body pain and chronic disease: evidence from a 7-year population-based study in China
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ABSTRACT
Background Evidence is limited on the risk impact of body pain on future chronic disease. The present study aimed to investigate the association between body pain and chronic diseases.

Methods Data were analyzed using four waves of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study with 17 708 individual respondents aged 45 years and older. The association between body pain and chronic disease was estimated in both a cross-sectional cohort (2011) and a longitudinal cohort (2011–2018). The key outcomes include the incidence of overall and any specific chronic diseases. The associations among different body pain sites and 10 independent chronic disease risks were also assessed.

Findings A total of 17 128 participants in 2011 were included in the cross-sectional cohort and 5611 participants were included in the 2011–2018 longitudinal cohort. Body pain showed an association with overall chronic disease in both the cross-sectional models (OR 2.71, 95% CI 2.47 to 2.98) and longitudinal model (risk ratio (RR) 1.21, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.35). Moreover, body pain was found to be associated with an increased risk of chronic respiratory disease (RR 1.43, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.92), heart disease (RR 1.45, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.89), kidney disease (RR 1.83, 95% CI 1.28 to 2.6), and digestive disease (RR 1.48, 95% CI 1.17 to 1.88).

Conclusion Body pain is associated with major disease and mortality. Future clinical research should be targeted to whether or not improved pain control can mitigate this population-level disease burden.

INTRODUCTION
Body pain is a global problem associated with both poor psychological and physical health.1 The International Association for the Study of Pain has defined pain as ‘an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with or resembling that associated with actual or potential tissue damage’.2 Such experience could be caused by multiple factors, including sociodemographic, lifestyle, clinical, and psychological factors.3 According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016, pain, especially low back pain and migraine, and their related diseases are the leading causes of disability and disease burden.4 At present, chronic pain conditions have been reconsidered as a distinct disease entity rather than a simple description of symptoms.5

 Associations have been found between chronic body pain and mortality. Torrance et al found that severe chronic pain is associated with all-cause mortality and cardiovascular-specific mortality.6 According to results from half a million prospective cohorts and meta-analyses, chronic widespread pain is related to cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, and other disease-related causes of death.7 Although body pain has now been widely linked to current disease states, examination of the relationship between body pain and future disease risk is relatively rare. One meta-analysis has reported that neck or back pain could greatly increase the future risk of chronic disease, particularly in cardiovascular disease.8 For site-specific pain, the study also reported that lower back pain in women could greatly increase the future diabetes risk in a subsequent 11-year interval, however, in the man cohort, this association disappeared.9

Although a suggested link has been discovered between body pain and chronic disease, to the best of our knowledge, a direct longitudinal prospective study is still warranted to add solid evidence on this association. The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between body pain and the main chronic disease using a large prospective cohort in a Chinese population.

METHODS
Study design and cohort selection
The analysis was based on the four waves of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), which began in 2011 (wave 1) and was followed up in 2013 (wave 2), 2015 (wave 3), and 2018 (wave 4). The details of the study design and population characteristics have been reported previously.10 Briefly, using multistage stratified probability proportionate to size sampling, CHARLS collected nationally representative samples comprising 17 708 individual respondents aged 45 years and older between June 2011 and March 2012. The baseline survey was conducted and had a response rate of 80.5%.

Participants with complete body pain and doctor-diagnosed chronic disease in wave 1 were included in the cross-sectional study. After participants with missing data (n = 594) were excluded, 17 128 participants in wave 1 were included. The association between body pain and the existing chronic disease status was estimated among the included participants.
Participants with data across four waves from 2011 to 2018 were included in the longitudinal cohort. They were excluded if they (1) were missing data on either body pain or chronic disease (n=594) or (2) had any previous self-reported chronic disease at baseline (n=11486). Ultimately, 5628 participants were included. Overall and site-specific body pain, as the main exposure, were obtained from wave 1 and the subsequent chronic disease development, as the main outcome, was obtained from waves 2 to 4.

**Ascertainment of exposure**

For each wave, information on participants was collected through one-to-one interviews with a structured questionnaire. One question was: ‘Are you often troubled with any body pains?’ Any participant who answered ‘yes’ was then given the following question: ‘On what part of your body do you feel pain?’ Please list all parts of the body which are currently feeling pain (You can select more than one answer)’ with several body regions given as options (‘head’, ‘shoulder’, ‘arm’, ‘wrist’, ‘fingers’, ‘chest’, ‘stomach’, ‘back’, ‘waist’, ‘buttocks’, ‘leg’, ‘knees’, ‘ankle’, ‘toes’, and ‘neck’). Based on previous evidence, we further recategorized the body region into head, neck/shoulders, arms/hands, frontal torso/genitals, back, buttocks, upper legs, and lower legs/feet. Multiple sites of pain were defined as pain site numbers larger than 1.

**Ascertainment of outcomes**

The main outcome of the present study included any doctor-diagnosed disease reported by participants identified from waves 2 to 4. These doctor-diagnosed diseases included hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes or high blood sugar, cancer or malignant tumor (excluding minor skin cancers), chronic lung diseases, such as chronic bronchitis, emphysema (excluding tumors, or cancer), liver disease (except fatty liver, tumors, and cancer), heart disease (including heart attack, coronary heart disease, angina, congestive heart failure, or other heart problems), stroke, kidney disease (except for tumor or cancer), stomach or other digestive diseases (except for tumor or cancer), and asthma. Among them, chronic lung diseases and asthma were recategorized as respiratory diseases. Mortality information was acquired from the Exit and Verbal Autopsy Questionnaire in wave 2, on which the death date was acquired from death certificates, medical records, or interviews with the relatives of participants.

**Ascertainment of covariates**

Information on potential confounders was acquired from the baseline. Age at recruitment was categorized into 10-year intervals. Educational qualification was categorized as illiterate, primary school or lower, middle school, high school, or higher. Marital status was categorized as married, single/other, or widowed. Body mass index (BMI) was computed in kg/m² and subdivide into three groups: ≤24.9, 25–29.9, and ≥30. Restless sleep times were categorized as rare, some or a little, occasionally, most, or all. Difficulty with running or jogging approximately 1 km was categorized as no, have difficulty, cannot, and need help. Smoking status and alcohol status were ascertained.

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses were conducted with R V.3.6.3 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria), and a two-sided p<0.05 was set as statistically significant. In the cross-sectional analysis, the association between body pain and chronic disease was estimated through ORs, with 95% CIs, with data from 2011 by logistic regression. For participants without previous chronic diseases at baseline, the association between the pain and the incidence of chronic diseases was evaluated through risk ratios (RRs) using a generalized linear model (with a log link and Poisson distribution). Three models were constructed according to the adjustment degree. Model 1 was a univariate model for body pain. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for the inherent characteristics, including gender, age at recruitment, marital status, and educational level. Model 3 was further adjusted for potential lifestyle and health-related confounders, including BMI, sleep quality, difficulty in activity, smoking status, and alcohol consumption status. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to investigate the association of body pain and mortality, and the results are reported as HRs together with 95% CIs. Follow-up time in months from baseline was used as the timescale, and for each participant, the end of follow-up was set at date of death or date of interview of wave 2, whichever came first. Participants who did not take part in the interview of wave 2 were excluded from the analysis of mortality. Model 3 was not applied to adjust the all-cause mortality, considering nearly 38.8% (153/394) of death events missing at least one lifestyle covariate included in model 3.

Potential interactions among all potential risk factors were estimated, including age (<65 or ≥65 years), gender (male or female), educational level ((middle school or lower) or (high school or higher)), marital status (married or single/widow/other), BMI (<25 or ≥25), smoking status (never or current/ever), alcohol consumption status (never or current/ever), restless sleep times (rare or more than once a week), and difficulty running 1 km (no or yes). In sensitivity analyses, we excluded participants who developed any chronic disease within the first 2 years of follow-up to avoid reverse causality. Furthermore, we reconducted the association analysis by restricting the participants to a shorter follow-up time. Last, we repeated the analyses by using log-binomial regression rather than modified Poisson regression to evaluate RR with 95% CI.

**RESULTS**

**Population characteristics**

As presented in table 1, in wave 1 of CHARLS, 17128 participants with complete information were ultimately included in the cross-sectional cohort. Among them, 5544 (32.37%) participants with body pain and 11584 (67.63%) participants without body pain were identified. Compared with participants without body pain, participants troubled with body pain were more likely to be female and widowed with lower education levels, had difficulty in running 1 km, had sleeplessness, and never smoke or consumed alcohol. In the longitudinal cohort, the baseline characteristics of a total of 5628 qualified participants were included according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria (table 1). Among them, 4711 (83.71%) participants reported no current experience of body pain and 917 (16.29%) participants reported trouble with body pain. The population distribution in the longitudinal cohort was similar to that in the cross-sectional cohort. Generally, compared with participants who were included in the longitudinal cohort, participants who were excluded from the longitudinal cohort were more likely to report body pain (online supplemental table S1).

**The association between body pain and overall chronic disease**

In a cross-sectional setting of analyzed participants in wave 1, as presented in table 2, strong associations between body pain and overall chronic disease were found (model 3: OR 2.71, 95% CI 2.47 to 2.98). Further analyses showed that specific body pain was found widely associated with most independent chronic diseases (online supplemental figure S1) at baseline.
As presented in table 2, associations were also found in the longitudinal cohort between body pain and overall chronic disease (model 3: RR=1.21, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.35). With multiple sites of body pain (number of pain sites>1), the risk of chronic disease increased (Ptrend=0.004, online supplemental table S2). Body pain with multiple sites elevated the future risk of chronic disease by 23% (model 3: RR 1.23, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.41). The relationship between different pain sites and chronic disease was also tested. We found that chronic diseases risk was particularly elevated in participants with neck/shoulder pain (RR 1.27, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.46, online supplemental table S3).
Table 2 Unadjusted models and adjusted models estimated the association between body pain and chronic disease in the cross-sectional cohort and longitudinal cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of participants</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1†</td>
<td>17 114</td>
<td>3.45 (3.19 to 3.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2‡</td>
<td>17 082</td>
<td>3.43 (3.16 to 3.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3§</td>
<td>14 471</td>
<td>2.71 (2.47 to 2.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Univariate model.
†Additionally adjusted for gender, age at recruitment, marital status, and educational level.
‡Additionally adjusted for BMI, sleep quality, difficulty in activity, smoking status, and alcohol consumption status.
§BMI, body mass index; RR, risk ratio.

The association between pain sites and specific chronic diseases

As presented in table 3, body pain was associated with an increased risk of chronic respiratory disease (RR 1.43, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.89), kidney disease (RR 1.83, 95% CI 1.28 to 2.6), and digestive disease (RR 1.48, 95% CI 1.17 to 1.88).

Furthermore, as presented in figure 1, when comparing participants with site-specific pain versus without corresponding site-specific pain in longitudinal cohort, different pain sites were also associated with specific chronic diseases. Most pain sites were associated with an increased risk of kidney disease and digestive disease. Specifically, neck/shoulder and back pain exhibited the widest associations with chronic diseases, while unique associations were found between neck/shoulder pain and diabetes, as well as back pain and cancer (RR 2.18, 95% CI 1.07 to 4.43).

The association between body pain and all-cause mortality

Of the data from 17 193 participants from waves 1 and 2, 15 186 participants were included in the study, with a maximum follow-up period of 2.42 years, after excluding data from participants without complete information. During the follow-up, 394 deaths were observed, of which 153 deaths of participants with body pain were identified. As presented in table 4, overall body pain was associated with elevated all-cause mortality when compared with those without any body pain (HR 1.27, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.56). For site-specific pain, frontal torso/genital pain was associated with a 48% excess risk of all-cause mortality (HR 1.48, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.93) when compared with those without frontal torso/genital pain. In addition, as shown in online supplemental table S4, a single pain site, but not multiple pain sites, was associated with increased all-cause mortality (HR 1.4, 95% CI 1 to 1.96).

Subgroup and sensitivity analysis

Subgroup analyses were conducted according to risk factors and the potential modification effects were estimated. The results showed no potential interaction among stratified risk factors (online supplemental figure S2). To assess the robustness of the associations, we tested the association in a shorter follow-up (2011–2015). The previously identified associations remained significant except for the association with the risk of overall chronic disease (RR 1.15, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.34, online supplemental table S5). Furthermore, to avoid reverse causality, we excluded participants who developed the chronic disease within the 2-year follow-up (2011–2013) at baseline. Heart, kidney, and respiratory disease were no longer associated with body pain in the fully adjusted model, suggesting a potential causal inversion (online supplemental table S6). Repeated analyses were conducted through log-binomial regression to evaluate RR (online supplemental table S7) and even stronger associations were found.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first longitudinal prospective study using representative, high-quality, national samples of approximately 17 500 Chinese residents to explore the association between body pain and the future risk of chronic disease. We demonstrated that body pain was positively associated with chronic diseases, and the association was stronger for specific pain sites.

Table 3 Unadjusted models and adjusted models estimated the association between body pain and specific diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Model 1†</th>
<th>Model 2‡</th>
<th>Model 3§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.04 (0.88 to 1.23)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.02 (0.86 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>1.14 (0.92 to 1.42)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.21 (0.98 to 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.3 (0.99 to 1.7)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.33 (1.01 to 1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>0.92 (0.48 to 1.74)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.98 (0.51 to 1.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease</td>
<td>1.06 (0.7 to 1.59)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.17 (0.77 to 1.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>1.61 (1.27 to 2.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.57 (1.24 to 1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1 to 1.97)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.4 (1.02 to 2.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney disease</td>
<td>1.58 (1.15 to 2.16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.73 (1.25 to 2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive disease</td>
<td>1.66 (1.35 to 2.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.57 (1.27 to 1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>1.54 (1.19 to 1.99)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.56 (1.2 to 2.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Univariate model.
†Additionally adjusted for gender, age at recruitment, marital status, and educational level.
‡Additionally adjusted for BMI, sleep quality, difficulty in activity, smoking status, and alcohol consumption status.
§BMI, body mass index; RR, risk ratio.
associated with future risk of chronic disease development, particularly with respiratory, heart, kidney, and digestive disease.

In a cross-sectional setting, the present study revealed that overall body pain and site-specific body pain were associated with the development of most of the diseases in elderly Chinese people. The same broad association between pain and the development of different diseases has been observed in other cohorts.12 13 Although the pain-disease association seems affirmative, the cross-sectional study design inhibited the further illustration of chronological order.

Multiple longitudinal cohorts have assessed the associations of body pain with future risk of different diseases and a positive relationship between the two has been revealed. Chronic widespread pain was also related to a positive association between cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, and other disease-related causes, according to the evidence from half a million prospective cohort and meta-analysis.7 However, these studies have mostly focused on individual body pain site or specific outcomes, and their measurements of pain vary.14 15 Multiple waves and the wide ranges of inquired information in CHARLS allowed us to measure this association over a 7-year follow-up. By applying a single indicator of body pain and associating it with all-cause mortality and overall chronic disease risks, we found that overall body pain was associated with all-cause mortality and overall chronic disease risk. When we used site-specific pain as a more precise and individual reflection of pain, our results demonstrated that each pain site was related to the varied risk of different diseases. Although some of these associations have been revealed by previous studies such as diabetes and heart disease,16 several associations were first identified in our study.

How body pain contributes to future chronic disease development has been explored in several studies. Evidence has shown that pain and pain-induced disability may limit physical activities or result in poor sleep quality, which may cause obesity or other mediators in heart disease or diabetes.17 In our study, the association between body pain and diabetes was largely attenuated after additional adjustment for BMI and physical conditions, which were partly confirmed previous results and suggested the potential mediator effects between them. However, for most other diseases, including heart, kidney, and digestive disease,
The present study supports that body pain exerts a long-term influence on the development of chronic disease and several strengths of this study substantiate the evidence. First, information on body pain, pain sites, and the number of pain instances was obtained across 28 provinces, 150 districts, and 450 communities in China through systematic sampling. The sampling results represent the Chinese cohort well and enable us to infer the global status of body pain in the Chinese population. Second, the outcome-wide analysis was used to analyze the potential associations. The outcome-wide approach, compared with exposure-wide studies that use a single disease as an outcome, has been advocated in population studies as resulting in lower investigation bias, good comparability between effective size, and more methodological effectiveness.

Several limitations exist in the present study. First, although we adjusted a wide range of potential confounders, residual confounding was unavoidable. Since the results we demonstrated were based on an elderly Chinese population, whether the association can be generalized to other ethnicities warrants further investigation. Additionally, the lack of detailed pain frequency and duration restrict us from further explaining these associations. Further studies that evaluated the pain intensity with a validated functional scale are warranted on this topic. Although we used a prospective cohort study to explore the associations in a chronological order, sensitivity analyses suggested that the potential causal inversion may have occurred. Moreover, given that the RRs reported in the present study were relatively lower, the possible causative relationships between body pain and chronic diseases still need further exploration. In addition, all-cause mortality was only estimated in a relatively shorter follow-up because of the lack of released data in CHARLS, leading to less exact estimates. Also, the limited sample size on mortality data restrains us to further analyze by body pain and disease-specific mortality.

In conclusion, by using an outcome-wide approach in a large population-based prospective cohort in China, the present study revealed the association between body pain and the risk of future chronic disease. Different pain sites were associated with the development of various diseases, among which back pain was associated with most chronic diseases. On the clinical level, self-reported body pain in elderly people might help us identify those at risk of future chronic disease. Considering the prevalence and global burden of body pain, effective pain management might have a considerably beneficial impact on chronic disease development at a population level.

**Table 4** Unadjusted models and adjusted models estimated the association between overall and site-specific pain and all-cause mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain sites</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)*</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Model 1†</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Model 2‡</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.31 (1.07 to 1.6)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.27 (1.03 to 1.56)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>1.11 (0.84 to 1.46)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.15 (0.85 to 1.52)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck/shoulder</td>
<td>1.06 (0.8 to 1.39)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.12 (0.84 to 1.47)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arms/shoulders</td>
<td>1.15 (0.87 to 1.51)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.17 (0.89 to 1.55)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal torso/genitals</td>
<td>1.5 (1.15 to 1.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.48 (1.13 to 1.93)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>1.13 (0.89 to 1.42)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.14 (0.9 to 1.44)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttocks</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9 to 2.17)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.26 (0.81 to 1.96)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper legs</td>
<td>1.46 (1.14 to 1.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.25 (0.97 to 1.6)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower legs/feet</td>
<td>0.96 (0.73 to 1.28)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.94 (0.71 to 1.25)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For overall pain, participants without any pain were set as the reference group. For any specific-site pain, participants without the corresponding site-specific pain were set as the reference group (eg, participants without head pain were set as a reference group when models were constructed to estimate the HR of head pain).
†Univariate model.
‡Additionally adjusted for gender, age at recruitment, marital status, and educational level.
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